PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

PCT

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

(Chapter II of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

Applicant's or agent's file reference P186	FOR FURTHER ACTION	See Form PCT/IPEA/416							
International application No. PCT/GB2004/003269	International filing date (day/month/yea 28.07.2004	Priority date (day/month/year) 28.07.2003							
International Patent Classification (IPC) or national classification and IPC G06T15/00									
Applicant VISUALIZE IT LTD									
This report is the international pre Authority under Article 35 and tra	ofiminary examination report, establishment in the control of the applicant according to	shed by this International Preliminary Examining to Article 36.							
2. This REPORT consists of a total	of 6 sheets, including this cover she	et.							
3. This report is also accompanied to	y ANNEXES, comprising:	••							
a. D sent to the applicant and t	o the International Bureau) a total of	sheets, as follows:							
sheets of the description, claims and/or drawings which have been amended and are the basis of this report and/or sheets containing rectifications authorized by this Authority (see Rule 70.16 and Section 607 of the Administrative Instructions).									
sheets which superse beyond the disclosure Supplemental Box.	sheets which supersede earlier sheets, but which this Authority considers contain an amendment that goes beyond the disclosure in the international application as filed, as indicated in item 4 of Box No. I and the Supplemental Box.								
b. (sent to the International Bureau only) a total of (indicate type and number of electronic carrier(s)), containing a sequence listing and/or tables related thereto, in computer readable form only, as Indicated in the Supplemental Box Relating to Sequence Listing (see Section 802 of the Administrative Instructions).									
4. This report contains indications re	elating to the following items:								
🖾 Box No. I Basis of the opi	nion								
☐ Box No. II Priority									
☐ Box No. III Non-establishm	ent of opinion with regard to novelty	, inventive step and industrial applicability							
Box No. IV Lack of unity of	☐ Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention								
Box No. V Reasoned state applicability; cit	Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement								
Box No. VI Certain docume									
	in the international application								
	Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application								
Date of submission of the demand	Date of comp	pletton of this report							
02.05.2005	15.12.200	5.							
Name and mailing address of the internation preliminary examining authority:		Officer							
European Patent Office - Gitschiner Str. 103 D-10958 Berlin Kulak, E									
Tel. +49 30 25901 - 0									
Feoc +49 30 25901:- 840	Telephone N	io. +49 30 25901-410							

1AP5 Rec'd PCT/PTO 27 JAN 2006

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No. PCT/GB2004/003269

10/566296

	Box No. I Basis of the	eport					
1.	With regard to the language, this report is based on the international application in the language in which it we filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.						
	which is the language international search publication of the in	n translations from the original languag of a translation furnished for the purpo n (under Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)) nternational application (under Rule 12 inary examination (under Rules 55.2 a	ses of: !.4)	age,			
2. With regard to the elements* of the international application, this report is based on (replacement shave been furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred report as "originally filed" and are not annexed to this report):							
	Description, Pages		•				
	1-9	as originally filed					
.•	Ctalms, Numbers						
	1 -9	as originally filed					
	Drawings, Sheets						
	12, 22	as originally filed		•			
	☐ ia sequence listing and	for any related table(s) - see Supplem	ental Box Relating to Sequ	uence Listing			
3.	the description, particle the claims, Nos. the drawings, sheether the sequence listing	ts/figs					
4.	This report has been a had not been made, since Supplemental Box (Rute 7	established as if (some of) the amendo they have been considered to go beyo 0.2(c)).	nents annexed to this repo and the disclosure as filed,	nt and listed bislow as indicated in the			
-		ts/ligs g (<i>specify)</i> : I to sequence listing (<i>specify</i>):					
	• If item 4 applies	s, some or all of these sheet	s may be marked "su	perseded. •			

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No. PCT/GB2004/003269

Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or indisstrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

Yes: Claims Novelty (N)

5-8

Claims No:

1-4,9

Inventive step (IS)

Yes: Claims

Claims

1-9

Industrial applicability (IA)

Yes: Claims Claims No:

1-9

2. Citations and explanations (Rule 70.7):

see separate sheet

Certain observations on the International application

The following observations on the clarity of the claims, description, and drawings or on the question whether the claims are fully supported by the description, are made:

see separate sheet

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY (SEPARATE SHEET)

International application No.

PCT/GB2004/003269

Re Item V

Reasoned statement under Rule 66.2(a)(ii) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

- 1 The following document is referred to in this communication:
 - D1: DE 198 39 397 A (READYMIX BETONBAUTEILE GMBH) 2 March 2000 (2000-03-02)
 - D2: "Computer Graphics: Principles and Practice", Foley, vanDam, Feiner, Hughes, 1990
 - D3: SIMON GIBSON, TOBY HOWARD, ROGER HUBBOLD: "Image-based photometric reconstruction for mixed reality" ACM SIGGRAPH 2001, CONFERENCE ABSTRACTS AND APPLICATIONS, August 2001 (2001-08), pages 1-24, XP002314886 LOS ANGELES
 - D4: WOLFRAM KRESSE, DR. STEFAN MÜLLER, ANDRZEJ TREMBILSKI: "ARIS: Augmented Reality Image Synthesis Through Illumination Reconstruction" CG TOPICS, March 2001 (2001-03), pages 12-13, XP002314887
 - D5: KLAUS H. AHLERS, ANDRÉ KRAMER, DAVID E. BREEN ET. AL.:
 "Distributed augmented reality for colloborative design applications"
 TECHNICAL REPORT, EUROPEAN COMPUTER-INDUSTRY RESEARCH
 CENTER GMBH, 1995, XP002314888
 - D6: US-B1-6 281 904 (SEIDL ROBERT ET AL) 28 August 2001 (2001-08-28)

2 NOVELTY

The present application does not meet the criteria of Article 33(1) PCT, because the subject-matter of claims 1-4,9 is not new in the sense of Article 33(2) PCT.

2.1. Document 1 (D1) discloses the subject-matter of claim 1: A mapping arrangement for defining selected physical characteristics of a surface to be imaged (D1, claim 1), the arrangement comprising a template device for temporary application to said surface (D1, Figure 1; see further Re Item VIII, paragraph 1.2); said template device being configured and constructed such that, when photographed in situ on said surface, it provides a computer supplied with a INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY (SEPARATE SHEET) International application No. :

PCT/GB2004/0032@9:

digital image of the photograph with data from which one or more of said physical characteristics can be automatically computed (D1, Figure 2-3; D1, column 1, lines 34-38, "Fluchtpunkte"="Vanishing Points"), thereby permitting the computer to utilise software, requiring inputs indicative of said characteristics, capable of changing the appearance of the imaged surface in accordance with user selection (D1, Figure 4-6; D1, column 1, lines 41-42 and 64-68; column 3, lines 54-68).

A similar objection and reasoning applies to the corresponding method claim 9.

- 2.2. Document 1 (D1) discloses the subject-matter of claim 2: An arrangement according to claim 1 wherein the template device comprises a sheet carrying markings of known dimensions and at known relative orientations, thereby permitting geometric characteristics of the surface to be accurately defined (D1, column 1, lines 45-49).
- 2.3. Document 1 (D1) discloses the subject-matter of claim 3:

 An arrangement according to claim 1 or claim 2 wherein the said markings include components defining a rectangular frame with opposing sides being substantially parallel, thereby permitting the accurate derivation of perspective data for the surface (D1, Figures 2, 9-12).
- 2.4. Document 1 (D1) discloses the subject-matter of claim 4:

 An arrangement according to claim 3 wherein the parallel sides are substantially aligned with perspective defining edges of said surface (D1, Figures 9-12 and D1, column 4, line 40- column 5, line 11).
- 3. INVENTIVE STEP

 The claims 5-7 not involve an inventive step in the sense of Article 33(3) PCT.
- 3.1. Regarding claim 5:

In the prior art, it is standard to model perspective geometry and lighting of real scenes together and to apply the modelled characteristics during rendering of augmented surfaces, as exemplified in D2 (page 612, section 14.4.2, the first paragraph), D3 (page 3,7 and 12), D4 (figure 2 and page 13, the first column, the second paragraph), D5 (page 14, lines 4-7) and D6 (abstract, the sentence starting with "similarly, the true..."). Therefore, it is obvious that the skilled person

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY (SEPARATE SHEET)

International application No. :

PCT/GB2004/003269

in the art, starting with the subject-matter of D1 would use the source illumination model to have a more photo-realistic effect at the rendering stage.

3.2. Regarding claims 6,7:

D1 displays the source and target scenes over a network of perspective lines built by vanishing points and reference measures. This network itself is a directional indicator to indicate the orientation of patterns or ornamentation incorporated by means of the software into surface treatments to be displayed on the image of said surface, as seen in Figures:3 and 4. Therefore, the perspective lines of the network have the same functionality as the arrowheads disclosed in the current application. Representing the surface texture orientations with arrowheads instead of such a perspective network is only a non-technical display alternative and does not involve any inventive step.

4. INDUSTRIAL APPLICABILITY:

The current set of claims 1-9 discloses technical features to implement a texture mapping method using physical characteristics of source surfaces and therefore, the subject matter of claims 1-9 is industrially applicable.

Reitem VIII

- 1. Clarity:
- 1.1. According to the Rule 6.2a) PCT, claims shall not, except where absolutely necessary, rely, in respect of the technical features of the invention, on references to the description or drawings. In particular, they shall not rely on such references as: "as described in part..of the description," or "as illustrated in figure.. of the drawings."Therefore, claim 8 is not allowed.
- 1.2. The wording "template device" in claims 1,2,5,6,9 is too broad. The intended meaning is a calibration pattern. However, this is not understood by the skilled person from the current wording. A more understandable formulation may be incorporated from the description page 2, lines 28-31.

IAP5 Rec'd PCT/PTO 27 JAN 2006

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application Flo. PCT/GB2004/003269

				1	0/56	6296
	Box N	lo. I	Basis of the opinion			
1.	With n the lar	egard	d to the language, this opinion has been established or ge in which it was filed, unless otherwise indicated und	n the basis of the in crithis item.	itemational a	pplicatios in
	la	nguà	pinion has been established on the basis of a translatio age , which is the language of a translation furnished Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)).	n from the original for the purposes o	tanguage into f internationa) the following I search
2.	With r	egard sary 1	d to any nuclectide and/or amino acid sequence disc to the claimed invention, this opinion has been establis	dosed in the international int	ational applic f:	ation and
	a. type	e of n	naterial:			
		a 8	equence listing	•		
		tab	ale(s) related to the sequence listing		•,	
	b. for	nat ở	if material:			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
		in v	written format		•	
	0	in c	computer readable form			
	c. time	e of fi	illng/furnishing:			
		cor	ntained in the international application as filed.			
		file	d together with the international application in compute	r readable form.		
		fun	mished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes	of search.		
3.	h	as be	lition, in the case that more than one version or copy of een filed or furnished, the required statements that the B is identical to that in the application as filed or does no priate, were furnished.	information in the S	subsequent o	radditionai:
4	نداده ۸	اممما	Animanto:			

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application flo. : PCT/GB2004/003269

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43*bis.*1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

Novelty (N)

Yes: Claims

No: Claims

1-9

Inventive step (IS)

Yes: Claims

No:

Claims

1-9

Industrial applicability (IA)

Yes: Claims

1-9

No: Claims

2. Citations and explanations

see separate sheet

Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application

The following observations on the clarity of the claims, description, and drawings or on the question whether the claims are fully supported by the description, are made:

see separate sheet

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (SEPARATE SHEET)

International application No.

PCT/GB2004/003269

Re Item V

Reasoned statement under Rule 66.2(a)(ii) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

- 1 The following document is referred to in this communication:
 - D1: DE 198 39 397 A (READYMIX BETONBAUTEILE GMBH) 2 March 2000 (2000-03-02)
 - D2: "Computed Graphics: Principles and Practice", Foley, vanDam, Feiner, Hughes, 1990
 - D3: SIMON GIBSON, TOBY HOWARD, ROGER HUBBOLD: "Image-based photometric reconstruction for mixed reality" ACM SIGGRAPH 2001, CONFERENCE ABSTRACTS AND APPLICATIONS, August 2001 (2001-08), pages 1-24, XP002314886 LOS ANGELES
 - D4: WOLFRAM KRESSE, DR. STEFAN MÜLLER, ANDRZEJ TREMBILSKI: "ARIS: Augmented Reality Image Synthesis Through Illumination Reconstruction" CG TOPICS, March 2001 (2001-03), pages 12-13, XP002314887
 - D5: KLAUS H. AHLERS, ANDRÉ KRAMER, DAVID E. BREEN ET: AL.:
 "Distributed augmented reality for colloborative design applications"
 TECHNICAL REPORT, EUROPEAN COMPUTER-INDUSTRY RESEARCH:
 CENTER GMBH, 1995, XP002314888
 - D6: US-B1-6 281 904 (SEIDL ROBERT ET AL) 28 August 2001 (2001-08-28)

2 NOVELTY

The present application does not meet the criteria of Article 33(1) PCT, because the subject-matter of claims 1-4,9 is not new in the sense of Article 33(2) PCT.

2.1. Document 1 (D1) discloses the subject-matter of claim 1: A mapping arrangement for defining selected physical characteristics of a surface to be imaged (D1, claim 1), the arrangement comprising a template device for temporary application to said surface (D1, Figure 1); said template device being configured and constructed such that, when photographed in situ on said surface, it provides a computer supplied with a digital image of the photograph with data

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (SEPARATE SHEET)

International application No.

PCT/GB2004/003269

from which one or more of said physical characteristics can be automatically computed (D1, Figure 2-3; D1, column 1, lines 34:38, "Fluchtpunkte"="Vanishing Points"), thereby permitting the computer to utilise software, requiring inputs indicative of said characteristics, capable of changing the appearance of the Imaged surface:in accordance with user selection (D1, Figure 4-6; D1, column 1, lines 41-42 and 64-68).

A similar objection and reasoning applies to the corresponding method claim 9.

- 2.2. Document 1 (D1) discloses the subject-matter of claim 2: An arrangement according to claim 1 wherein the template device comprises a sheet carrying markings of known dimensions and at known relative orientations, thereby permitting geometric characteristics of the surface to be accurately defined (D1, column 1, lines 45-49).
- 2.3. Document 1 (D1) discloses the subject-matter of claim 3: An arrangement according to claim 1 or claim 2 wherein the said markings include components defining a rectangular frame with opposing sides being substantially parallel, thereby permitting the accurate derivation: of perspective data for the surface (D1, Figures 2, 9-12).
- 2.4. Document 1 (D1) discloses the subject-matter of claim 4:

 An arrangement according to claim 3 wherein the parallel sides are substantially aligned with perspective defining edges of said surface (D1, Figures 9-12 and D1, column 4, line 40- column 5, line 11).
- INVENTIVE STEP
 The claims 5-7 not involve an inventive step in the sense of Article 33(3) PCT.
- 3.1. Regarding claim 5:

In the prior art, it is standard to model perspective geometry and lighting of real scenes together and to apply the modelled characteristics during rendering of augmented surfaces, as exemplified in D2 (page 612, section 14.4.2, the first paragraph), D3 (page 3,7 and 12), D4 (figure 2 and page 13, the first column, the second paragraph), D5 (page 14, lines 4-7) and D6 (abstract, the sentence starting with "similarly, the true..."). Therefore, it is obvious that the skilled person

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (SEPARATE SHEET)

International application No.

PCT/GB2004/003269

in the art, starting with the subject-matter of D1 would use the source illumination model to have a more photo-realistic effect at the rendering stage.

3.2. Regarding claims 6,7:

D1 displays the source and target scenes over a network of perspective lines builting points and reference measures. This network itself is a directional indicator to indicate the orientation of patterns or ornamentation incorporated by means of the software into surface treatments to be displayed on the image of said surface, as seen in Figures 3 and 4. Therefore, the perspective lines of the network have the same functionality as the arrowheads disclosed in the current application. Representing the surface textures' orientation with arrowheads instead of such a perspective network is only a non-technical display alternative and does not involve any inventive step.

4. INDUSTRIAL APPLICABILITY:

The current set of claims 1-9 discloses technical features to implement a texture mapping method using physical characteristics of source surfaces and therefore, the subject matter of claims 1-9 is industrially applicable.

Re Item VIII

1. Clarity:

According to the Rule 6.2a) PCT, claims shall not, except where absolutely necessary, rely, in respect of the technical features of the invention, on references to the description or drawings. In particular, they shall not rely on such references as: "as described in part...of the description," or "as illustrated in figure... of the drawings." Threfore, claim 8 is not allowed.